

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/047,963	SCHMIDT, ROBERT P.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan V. Ho	2615	

All Participants:

(1) Tuan V. Ho.

(2) Dave Ometz (SPE).

Status of Application: _____

(3) Mr. J. Gagewski.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:


US Patent 4,729,648

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Upon a telephone interview, Mr. J. Gagewski authorized the examiner to amend claim 1 as discussed in the Examiner's Amendment

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



TUAN HO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)